Once students have conducted enough research to understand some of the problem's complexities and points of view, this strategy allows them to:

- Apply research findings
- > Deepen understanding of key concepts
- > Test strength of arguments and evidence
- > Reason fairmindedly from multiple viewpoints
- > Develop shared understanding

PROCESS



B

Ask students to

- Oreate or think about a question that frames an issue or problem
- \bigcirc identify two opposing sides or contrasting points of view.

On a whiteboard or large chart paper, draw a line to represent a **tug**-of-war rope. Ask students to label the two ends of the rope.

Invite students to generate arguments or **tugs** for either side of the rope and record these on sticky notes. (They can do this individually, in pairs, small groups, or as a class).

Invite students to place the **tugs** on the line, placing

()) the more powerful arguments near the ends

() the less powerful arguments closer to the center Debate will ensue, and consensus is the goal!

Pose questions to clarify and extend reasoning throughout this process.

Note: You can also divide the class into small groups—each group collaborates to complete a tug-ofwar, then share and synthesize

VIDEO example (note how students use sticky notes and a real rope) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VapnoINAEcM (Tug of War Thinking Routine by Shantel DELETE-Clark)

TO CLOSE, YOU CAN:

Once students have conducted enough research to understand some of the problem's complexities and points of view, this strategy allows them to:

- > Record new questions which have emerged and can
- > guide next steps in inquiry.
- > Engage students in "I used to think, now I think" reflection.

Challenge students to create 1-minute Elevator Speeches explaining the complexities of the issue to someone else.

Van Hesteren, adapted from Making Thinking Visible



